



Tranche 3

Information session 1 Q&A

Version: 1.0
Datum: 19 September 2023
Compiled by: BAS Implementation
Classification White (public)



Table of contents

OVERVIEW DOCUMENTATION TRANCHE 3	3
CONCISE OVERVIEW PER ISSUE	3
<i>Question 1 - What is the situation with the BRP Grid loss in de process flows and its impact?</i>	3
<i>Question 2 - Is it correct that settlement takes place at portfolio level (BRP/SUP), and not per connection?</i>	3
<i>Question 3 - Are the monthly prices actually per energy direction?</i>	3
<i>Question 4 - SO or DSO ?</i>	3
<i>Question 5 - So a new price is being used for the reconciliation process of PRF?</i>	3
<i>Question 6 - MRPs will soon be obligated to retain their measurement data for 7 years. Is this 7 years following distribution of the measurement data?</i>	3
<i>Question 7 - Does IC257 not have an indirect impact on the MRP, in the sense that the monthly volume (N41) and the total of the allocation volumes (N20) have to be more or less the same? And these could differ because the monthly volume is calculated differently at some MRPs.</i>	4
REQUESTS FOR CHANGE	4
<i>Question 8 - Is it practical to technically go live on 14 December, just before Christmas (and the holidays), and to functionally go live on New Year's Day (compulsory day off)?</i>	4
QUALIFICATION PUD (IC252)	4
<i>Question 9 - Is PUD 2.0/MES a different or new EDSN Portal?</i>	4
<i>Question 10 - Will it still be possible to use PUD 2.0 in the GUI?</i>	4
<i>Question 11 - The Go live for RFC A2.0-6 Optimising checks measurement data; will it be on 14 December or 1 January?</i>	4
CENTRAL SYSTEMS TENNET	4
<i>Question 12 - It has been said that a pull mechanism is unsuitable for large volumes. What is the limit?</i>	4
<i>Question 13 - Can the carrier be used by an FGO party to send notifications for a CDS owner?</i>	5
<i>Question 14 - A market party can thus use both the push and the pull mechanism?</i>	5
<i>Question 15 - Is it true that if a push mechanism is configured, it is still possible to use the pull mechanism in the event of an emergency?</i>	5



Overview documentation Tranche 3

All necessary documentation about Tranche 3 can be found on mijnMFFBAS, under the menu Release -> Tranche 3. Here you will find several direct links to documentation that was addressed during the information session. In addition, there is a link to the general List of Abbreviations and Terms.

- [1. Issues, BRS, MSP](#)
- [2. Notification definitions & Business Services](#)
- [3. RFCs](#)
- [4. Project management](#)
- [7. Information session](#)

Concise overview per issue

Question 1 - What is the situation with the BRP Grid loss in de process flows and its impact?

Within the various process flows, data exchange with the BRP must also be seen as an exchange with the BRP Grid loss. Naturally, the content is different; the other content can be found in the Business Requirements Specification (BRS) Settlement data and the Business Services (BS) "Exchange volumes for settlement and reconciliation electricity" and "Exchange settlement results electricity".

Question 2 - Is it correct that settlement takes place at portfolio level (BRP/SUP), and not per connection?

TenneT only receives volumes per BRP and per system area from system operators, and thus does not perform the settlement calculations per BRP/SUP and also not per connection.

Question 3 - Are the monthly prices actually per energy direction?

There is no distinction in the settlement prices per energy direction; they are the same according to IC279 Settlement Prices. However, the amounts per energy direction will be calculated and supplied in the settlement results.

In terms of settlement prices being made available by TenneT, it has not yet been determined whether the settlement prices (IC279) will be supplied per energy direction. It will be possible to read about this in the concerned Business Service, which will be supplied in quarter 4 of 2023.

Question 4 - SO or DSO ?

If the term DSO is used, this means reference is only being made to the distribution system operator; this applies to, for example, SMA connections that can only be encountered in the system area of a DSO.

In contrast, if the term SO is used, this also refers to the CDS-Operator (or FGO) and the Transmission System Operator.

Question 5 - So a new price is being used for the reconciliation process of PRF?

In accordance with IC279, there is a new calculation method for settlement prices (per tariff period) that are used for PRF connections.

Question 6 – MRP's will soon be obligated to retain their measurement data for 7 years. Is this 7 years following distribution of the measurement data?

When addressing the retention period, the measurement codes electricity and gas refer to collected/determined measurement data and not distribution measurement data. Following IC187, the retention period of 7 years is in keeping with the period stipulated in the Tax Act.

Strictly speaking, the new retention period applies as of 01-01-2025. IC187 does not describe which period must be used for measurement data collected before 01-01-2025, but it seems logical to retain



all measurement data that is part of the MRP's registers for a period of 7 years as of 01-01-2025. Also if the measurement data was collected before 01-01-2025.

Question 7 - Does IC257 not have an indirect impact on the MRP, in the sense that the monthly volume (N41) and the total of the allocation volumes (N20) have to be more or less the same? And these could differ because the monthly volume is calculated differently at some MRP's.

Yes, these different types of measurement notifications (N20 = monthly notifications with volumes per imbalance settlement period; N41 = monthly notifications with monthly volumes) have been sent as of A2.0 Tranche 1 (TR2021). The contents of both types of measurement notifications should match (sum of volumes per imbalance settlement period = monthly volume). Because the N20 notifications will only be used for settlement once IC257 has been implemented, limited attention is currently being paid to ensuring that the content of N20 notifications is in keeping with the N41- notifications, but this *will* be the case as of 01-01-2025.

Therefore, in the run-up to implementing A2.0 Tranche 3, it is advised to pay attention to ensuring that N20 notifications are complete and accurate in relation to N41 notifications.

Requests for Change

Question 8 - Is it practical to go live technically on 14 December, just before Christmas (and the holidays), and to go live functionally on New Year's Day (compulsory day off)?

The functional go live date of 1 January has many administrative advantages because it is the start of a new calendar year. Strictly speaking, not much else needs to happen on 1 January itself from a functional perspective; it will mainly herald a new period where the new processes in A2.0 Tranche 3 will come into effect, but will often only be carried out later per calendar month.

Initially, the technical go live date was in the first weekend of the Christmas holiday, and was actually brought forward with RFC A2.0-TR3-5 so that it would be a week before the holiday. Moreover, the period between the technical and functional go live cannot be too long.

Qualification PUD (IC252)

Question 9 - Is PUD 2.0/MES a different or new EDSN Portal?

The existing PUD (Portal Exchange Services) is technically outdated and is being rebuilt in the EDSN landscape, but the functions will remain the same. However, the name will be changed to MES (Message Exchange Server). EDSN can address this further during the next information session.

Question 10 - Will it still be possible to use PUD 2.0 in the GUI?

The functionality of the MES will be the same as that of the PUD, whereby B2B channels as well as GUI will be supported in accordance with the current Business Services.

Question 11 - The Go live for RFC A2.0-6 Optimising checks measurement data; will it be on 14 December or 1 January?

For all parts of A2.0 Tranche 3, the functional go live will be on 01-01-2025 - so also for this RFC.

Central systems TenneT

Question 12 - It has been said that a pull mechanism is unsuitable for large volumes. What is the limit?

The limit is arbitrary and could differ per individual market party and per notification flow, in terms of impact at both the concerned market party and at TenneT. We have not yet established a general limit for this. If you have doubts about whether your organisation has reached the limit, please contact TenneT via: functionalmanagemeunteie@tennet.eu.

**Question 13 - Can the carrier be used by an FGO party to send notifications for a CDS owner?**

Yes, it depends on who bears specific responsibility for the notification in the regulations and in the market agreements. We regard it as a market party, so it is entitled to deploy a carrier if necessary. Everyone can serve the role of carrier, irrespective of their role within or outside the energy sector.

Question 14 - A market party can thus use both the push and the pull mechanism?

Yes, the push option can be configured separately per notification flow. If a market party has opted for push, the pull option will remain open; also see the next question.

Question 15 - Is it true that if a push mechanism is configured, it is still possible to use the pull mechanism in the event of an emergency?

Yes, that is true.